

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,541	01/23/2006	Mario Polegato Moretti	284841US6PCT	2087	
23259 7590 09/09/0908 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KAVANAUGH, JOHN T		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			00/00/2009	EL ECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/565,541 POLEGATO MORETTI, MARIO Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit /Ted Kavanaugh/ 3728 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 22 and 24-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 33-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22,24-32 and 39-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-26-2006,4-21-2006,1-31-2006.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3728

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

- Claims 33-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
  1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 7-14-2008.
- Applicant's election without traverse of species I (figures 1-2) in the reply filed on 7-14-2008 is acknowledged.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

 Claims 24,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, applicant introduces "a second layer" which is referring to the "comfort layer" which already has been previously recited in claim 22. Therefore, it appears applicant is introducing a second comfort layer which is inaccurate and indefinite. Claim 26 is similarly indefinite. Moreover, in claim 26, applicant is claiming two comfort layers which are not shown in the elected embodiment and therefore the scope of the claim is not clear.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3728

footwear.

5. Claims 22,24-28,31-32 and 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6389711 (Polegato) in view of US 5022168 (Jeppson, III et al.). Polegato teaches a vapor-permeable and waterproof sole comprising a lower element with a tread (13), an upper vapor-permeable or perforated element (a perforated leather insole 17), a vapor-permeable and waterproof membrane (15), a third layer (14 or 114) and a fourth perforated or vapor-permeable layer (121) as claimed except for a comfort layer made out of a three-dimensional fabric. Jeppson teaches providing a sole with an insert (2) located below the insole and made out of a three-dimensional fabric (see figure 1) so as to provide an air space in the sole and provide circulation around the foot; see col. 4, lines 18-46. The insert inherently is a comfort layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the sole of Polegato with a comfort layer located below the insole, as taught by Jeppson, to provide additional ventilation to the

 Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of US 4446633 (Scheinhaus et al.).

Scheinhaus teaches a first layer (appearance cover 32) that wraps around the lower 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> layers. It would have been obvious to provide the sole as taught above with a first layer that wraps around the edge of the 2nd and 3rd layers, as taught by Scheinhaus, to improve the appearance of the shoe.

Art Unit: 3728

#### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including:
- "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references."
- --"A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section."
- -Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at <a href="https://www.uspto.gov">www.uspto.gov</a>.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(571) 273-8300</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Ted Kavanaugh</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Art Unit: 3728

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4556. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562.

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (In United States OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ted Kavanaugh/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

TK September 5, 2008